



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,358	633,358 08/04/2003		Douglas A. Newberg	2153-0128P	3702	
2292	7590	01/18/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				KEASEL, ERIC S		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		3754		
				DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/633,358	NEWBERG, DOUGLAS A.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Keasel	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the standard of the second of the standard of the stan	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 10 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	er in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 October 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph a</u>	nd double patenting rejections.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendme	nt
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the	<b>;</b>
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	6	in hease 14JAN2005	-
		Eric Keasel	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3754

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claim limitation in question requires that a seal is formed between a first, upper process side of the internal cavity and a process side of the diaphragm. Appellant argues that since the upper process side of the internal cavity does not directly touch the process side of the diaphragm, there is no seal. The examiner disagrees. Even though these surfaces do not directly contact each other, there is a seal that isolates the actuating rod from the process.

Continuation of 10. Other: the amendment does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 because the limitations from claim 10 that were added to claim 11 should be underlined.